AD-A264 679 TATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

d to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, viewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this reen, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson fice of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	4/13/93	Final – 11/1/89 – 12/31/92

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
"Nonsmooth Optimization Algorithms, System Theory, and Software Tools"

5. FUNDING NUMBERS
AFOSR-90-0068

6. AUTHOR(S)

Elijah Polak
Professor and Principal Investigator

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

The Regents o the University of California
c/o Sponsored Projects Office
336 Sproul Hall
Berkeley, CA 94720

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

442427-22530

AFOSR-TR. 93

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

AFOSR/NM
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

N/A

**DTIC
ELECTE
MAY 21 1993
S E D**

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

The research described in this report deals with the development of (1) efficient, consistent discretization techniques for use in semi-infinite optimization, optimal control algorithms that solve problems with dynamics governed by partial differential equations, (2) superlinearly converging semi-finite optimization algorithms, (3) various techniques for finding design parameters satisfying specifications, (4) optimal control algorithms for discrete and distributed systems with control, state and shape constraints, and (5) efficient numerical procedures for the integrated design of flexible structures and their control systems.

93 5 20 01

93-11301

14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
Optimization, optimal shape design algorithms			8
			16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
unclassified	unclassified	unclassified	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (890104 Draft)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18
298-01

NONSMOOTH OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHMS, SYSTEM THEORY, AND SOFTWARE TOOLS

E. Polak
Department of Electrical Engineering
and Computer Sciences
University of California,
Berkeley, Calif. 94720

April 12, 1993

Final Report for the Period November 1, 1989 - December 31, 1992

Prepared for

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Bolling Air Force Base, DC 20332-6448

Accesion For

NTIS CRA&I		☒
DTIC TAB		☐
Unannounced		☐
Justification		

By

Distribution /

Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and / or Special
A-1	

1. SUMMARY

The research described in this report deals with the development of *(i)* efficient, consistent discretization techniques for use in semi-infinite optimization, optimal control, and optimal shape design algorithms that solve problems with dynamics governed by partial differential equations, *(ii)* superlinearly converging semi-infinite optimization algorithms for the integrated design of flexible structures and their feedback-control systems, as well as for shape optimization, *(iii)* global techniques for finding design parameters satisfying specifications, *(iv)* optimal control algorithms for discrete and distributed systems with control, state and shape constraints, *(v)* efficient numerical procedures for the integrated design of flexible structures and their control systems.

The most significant accomplishments are *(i)* the development of a theory of consistent discretizations that offers a framework for the numerical solution, by means of nonlinear programming and discrete optimal control algorithms, of semi-infinite optimization optimal control, and shape optimization problems, *(ii)* the development of new tools for the study of rate of convergence of optimization algorithms in both conceptual and implementable form, *(iii)* the construction of algorithms for the solution of optimal control problems with plants described either by ODE's or PDE's (in evolution equation form), with control and state space constraints, *(iv)* the completion of about two thirds of a 500 page advanced level book: "Computational Methods in Optimization: A Unified Approach", to be published by Academic Press. This book will be unique in optimization literature because it deals with computational methods for optimization in a unified framework, and hence includes algorithms for the solution of nonlinear programming, semi-infinite optimization, and optimal control problems with control and state space constraints. The algorithms for semi-infinite optimization, and optimal control problems are developed within the framework of the theory of consistent approximations that was developed with AFOSR support. A MATLAB library of programs is being developed to supplement the theory.

Five students who were partially supported by this project have received their PhD degrees: E. J. Higgins, L. He, Y. P. Harn, J. E. Wiest, and T. H. Yang.

2. TECHNICAL REPORT

(i) Research Objectives

The broad research objective of this project was the development of optimization algorithms and related system-theoretic aspects for the integrated design of flexible structures and their control systems, and shape design. The specific aspects under consideration are *(i)* efficient, consistent discretization techniques for use in semi-infinite optimization, optimal control, and optimal shape design algorithms that solve problems with dynamics governed by partial differential equations, *(ii)* superlinearly converging semi-infinite optimization algorithms for the integrated design of flexible structures and their feedback-control systems, as well as for shape optimization, *(iii)* global techniques for finding design parameters satisfying specifications, *(iv)* optimal control algorithms for

1

discrete and distributed systems with control, state and shape constraints, *(v)* techniques for nonlinear feedback-system stabilization via open-loop optimal control, *(vi)* efficient numerical procedures for the integrated design of flexible structures and their control systems, and *(vii)* interactive software for optimization-based control system design.

(ii) Accomplishments

(a) Discretization Strategies for Semi-infinite Optimization and Optimal Control.

Discretization strategies play a crucial role in the implementation of semi-infinite optimization and optimal control algorithms. We feel that we have made truly significant contributions in this area, as follows.

In [32] we present a theory of consistent approximations that enables one to determine whether discretization techniques, that may be proposed for the solution of a semi-infinite optimization, optimal control, or shape optimization problem, result in consistent approximations or not. Furthermore, it leads to a set of techniques for using highly polished nonlinear programming algorithms in the solution of such problems. In this theory, we always consider a pair consisting of an optimization problem and its optimality function (P,θ), and define consistency of approximating problem-optimality function pairs, (P_N,θ_N) to (P,θ), in terms of the epigraphical convergence of the P_N to P, and the hypographical convergence of the optimality functions θ_N to θ. In [32], we show that standard discretization techniques decompose semi-infinite optimization and optimal control problems into families of finite dimensional problems, which, together with associated optimality functions, are consistent discretizations to the original problems. More recently, we have shown that standard discretization techniques decompose various optimal beam design problems into families of finite dimensional consistent approximations. In addition, we present in [32] two types of techniques for using consistent approximations in obtaining an approximate solution of the original problems. The first is a "filter" type technique, similar to that used in conjunction with penalty functions, the second one is an adaptive discretization technique that can be viewed as an implementation of a conceptual algorithm for solving the original problems.

In [6, 14] we formulated the following optimal discretization problem: given a need to reduce the cost error by a factor α, find the number of stages, and the corresponding numbers of discretization points and iterations to be performed at each stage by a linearly converging algorithm, so as to minimize the total computing effort. Although this problem is not solvable exactly, we showed that it is possible to obtain approximate solutions.

In [11, 28, 30] We have shown that it is possible to construct discretization strategies which preserve for the overall solution process the rates of linearly and superlinearly converging algorithms which are used on the finite dimensional approximations. The results in [30] are particularly elegant and important. First a very effective new technique is presented for the establishment of superlinear convergence of semi-infinite optimization algorithms under much weaker assumptions than in the current literature. Second, Newton's method is extended to a superlinearly converging (with rate 3/2) implementable version for the solution of semi-infinite minimax problems.

2

(b) **Barrier Function Methods for Minimax Problems.** Currently barrier function (interior point) methods are very popular for linear programming. In [19, 29] we show that they also lead to very effective minimax algorithms, partly because they tend to improve problem conditioning, and partly because they are much simpler to implement than other minimax algorithms.

(c) **Algorithms for the Solution of Semi-infinite Constraint Satisfaction Problems.**
It is often necessary to find a design that satisfies specifications. In economics this problem is usually referred to as the *satisfycing problem*. In [24, 22] we present two alternative approaches based on local methods for solving semi-infinite inequalities in a finite number of iterations.

In [15, 21] we present a global, multistart technique with the novel feature that it estimates the rate of convergence of the local algorithm being used and local minimum value to which it is converging, and incorporates the resulting information in its stopping rule. We show that on difficult problems our scheme can be about four times faster than an ordinary multistart method.

(d) **Algorithms for the Solution of Optimal Control Problems with ODE and PDE Dynamics, Control and State Constraints.** We have made a considerable amount of progress in the development of optimal control algorithms. In [7], we present an implementable algorithm for the solution of fixed and free time optimal slewing problems involving an Euler-Bernoulli beam, subject to control, deformation and end point constraints. In [12, 33] we present a very effective optimal control algorithm based on barrier functions, for problems with ODE dynamics, control and state space constraints. This algorithm should be extendible to PDE dynamics.

(e) **New Subprocedures for Semi-infinite Optimization.** The computation of search directions is a major part of any semi-infinite optimization algorithm. In [9] we present a very effective algorithm which can be used in solving a number of standard search direction finding problems.

(f) **Rate of Convergence of Semi-infinite Optimization Algorithms.** In [18] we present a new phase I phase II method of feasible directions and establish its linear convergence properties. This method is distinguished by the fact that it is the only known constrained semi-infinite optimization algorithm for which we were able to construct a linearly converging implementation that does not rely on strong assumptions.

In [5, 10, 20] we have studied the rate of convergence of the Pshenichnyi-Pironneau-Polak minimax algorithm and introduced a variable metric technique for the automatic rescaling of a class of semi-infinite optimization problems. The rescaling improves rate of convergence.

In [31] we show that a phase I - phase II feasible directions algorithm proposed by Polak 20 years ago, but never used because it was not clear how to implement it, can be easily implemented, and that its rate of convergence compares favorably with that of competing algorithms in its class.

(g) **Tools for the Design of Control Systems for Flexible Structures.** In [2] we develop a constrained, semi-infinite optimization approach to the design of control systems in H^∞ spaces.

In [1, 23] we present a new stability test and show that it can be used in conjunction with semi-infinite optimization to design stabilizing controllers for flexible structures described by evolution equations.

In [17] we show that it is possible to construct proportional - plus - integral stabilizing controllers for a class of MIMO linear systems described by evolution equations. Such controllers are interesting because they result in system that can follow polynomial inputs.

In [34] we present an evaluation of the potential improvements in seismic disturbance rejection to be obtained by using active variable damping control in a structure. Using the responses to seismic excitation of an optimally controlled variable structure and of a minimax optimally designed fixed structure, we obtain an upper bound on the achievable performance and a lower bound on the acceptability of a control system for a variable damping structure. Our numerical experiments lead to the conclusion that: the gap between the upper and lower bounds is rather small, which makes designing a superior feedback law very difficult. The best choice for a feedback law appears to be continuous moving horizon control, of the type described in [24-26].

(h) **Moving Horizon Control.** In [25-27] we propose and explore the properties of a moving horizon feedback control law, based on constrained optimal control algorithms, for linear and nonlinear plants with input saturation. Our moving horizon feedback control law results in a a nonconventional sampled-data system: its sampling periods vary from sampling instant to sampling instant, and the control during the sampling time is not constant, but determined by the solution of an open loop optimal control problem. We show that the resulting feedback system is robustly stable, whether the state of the plant is measured or estimated, that it is capable of following a class of reference inputs, and of suppressing a class of disturbances. Experimental results show that the behavior of the moving horizon control system is superior to that resulting from some alternative control laws.

(i) **Survey Papers and Various Presentations.** In [4, 5, 34-41] we report a number of survey papers and various oral presentations, including one plenary address, in which we brought our results to the attention of fellow researchers as well as to a broader audience of semi-infinite algorithm users.

(iii) Publications

[1]   E. Polak and S. Wuu, "On the Design of Stabilizing Compensators via Semi-Infinite Optimization", *EEE Trans. on Control*, Vol. 34, No.2, pp 196-200, 1989.

[2]   E. Polak and S. E. Salcudean, "On The Design of Linear Multivariable Feedback Systems via Constrained Nondifferentiable Optimization in H^∞ Spaces", IEEE Trans. on Automatic Contr., Vol. 34, No.3, pp 268-276, 1989.

[3]   E. Polak, D. Q. Mayne and J. Higgins, "A Superlinearly Convergent Algorithm for Min-Max Problems", Proc. *28th IEEE Conf. on Dec. and Contr.*, Tampa, Florida, Dec. 1989.

[4]   E. Polak, "Nonsmooth Optimization Algorithms for the Design of Controlled Flexible Structures", *Contemporary Mathematics* Vol. 97, pp 337-371. J. E. Marsden, P. S. Krishnaprasad, and J. C. Simo eds., American Math Soc.. Providence RI, 1989.

[5]   E. Polak, "Basics of Minimax Algorithms", pp 343-367 in *Nonsmooth Optimization and Related Topics*, F. H. Clarke, V. F. Dem'yanov and F. Giannessi eds.. Plenum Press, New York, 1989.

[6]   L. He and E. Polak, "Effective Discretization Strategies in Optimal Design", Proceedings *28th IEEE Conf. on Dec. and Contr.*, Tampa, FL.. December 12-14, 1989.

[7]   T. E. Baker and E. Polak, "On the Optimal Control of Systems Described by Evolution Equations", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory. Memo UCB/ERL M89/113, 27 September 1989*. To appear in *SIAM J. Contr. and Opt.*.

[8]   Y-P. Harn and E. Polak, "On the Design of Finite Dimensional Controllers for Infinite Dimensional Feedback-Systems via Semi-Infinite Optimization", *IEEE Trans. on Auto. Contr.*, Vol. 35, No. 10, pp. 1135-1140, 1990.

[9]   J. E. Higgins and E. Polak, "Minimizing Pseudo-Convex Functions on Convex Compact Sets", *JOTA*, Vol.65, No.1, pp 1-28, 1990.

[10]   E. Polak and E. J. Wiest, "A Variable Metric Technique for the Solution of Affinely Parametrized Nondifferentiable Optimal Design Problems", *JOTA*, Vol. 66, No. 3, pp 391-414, 1990.

[11]   E. Polak and L. He, "Rate Preserving Discretization Strategies for Semi-infinite Programming and Optimal Control", Proc. *29-th IEEE Conf. on Dec. and Contr.*, Honolulu, Hawaii, Dec. 5-7, 1990.

[12]   E. Polak, T. Yang, and D. Q. Mayne, "A Method of Centers Based on Barrier Functions for Solving Optimal Control Problems with Continuum State and Control Constraints", Proc. *29-th IEEE Conf. on Dec. and Contr.*, Honolulu, Hawaii, Dec. 5-7, 1990.

[13]   E. Polak, T. Yang, and D. Q. Mayne, "A Method of Centers Based on Barrier Functions for Solving Optimal Control Problems with Continuum State and Control Constraints", Proc. *Universita di Genova - The Ohio State University Joint Conference on New Trends in Systems Theory, Genoa, Italy, July 9-11 1990.*

[14]   L. He and E. Polak, "Effective Diagonalization Strategies for the Solution of a Class of Optimal Design Problems", *IEEE Trans on Automatic Control*, Vol. 35, No.3, pp 258-267, 1990.

[15]   L. He and E. Polak, "Multistart Method with Estimation Scheme for Global Satisfycing Problems", *University of California at Berkelely, Electronics Research Laboratory, Memo UCB/ERL M90/54, 20 June, 1990*. To appear in *J. Global Opt.*.

[16]   E. Polak, D. Q. Mayne and J. Higgins, "A Superlinearly Convergent Algorithm for Min-Max Problems", *JOTA* Vol. 69, No.3, pp 407-439, 1991.

[17]   Y-P. Harn and E. Polak, "Proportional-Plus-Multiintegral Stabilizing Compensators for a Class of MIMO Feedback Systems with Infinite-Dimensional Plants", *IEEE Trans. on Auto. Contr.*, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp. 207-213, 1991.

[18]   E. Polak and L. He, "A Unified Steerable Phase I Phase II Method of Feasible Directions for Semi-infinite Optimization", *JOTA*, Vol. 69, No.1, pp 83-107, 1991.

[19]   J. Higgins and E. Polak, "An ε-active Barrier Function Method for Solving Minimax Problems", *J. Appl. Math. and Optim.*, Vol. 23, pp 275-297, 1991.

[20]   E. J. Wiest and E. Polak, "On the Rate of Convergence of Two Minimax Algorithms", *JOTA* Vol. 71 No.1, pp 1-30, 1991.

[21]   L. He and E. Polak, "Multistart Method with Estimation Scheme for Global Satisfycing Problems", *Proc. European Control Conference*, Grenoble, July 2-5, 1991.

[22]   D. Q. Mayne, H. Michalska and E. Polak, "An Efficient Outer Approximations Algorithm for Solving Infinite Sets of Inequalities", Proc. *29-th IEEE Conf. on Dec. and Control*, Honolulu, Hawaii, Dec. 5-7, 1990.

[23]   Y-P. Harn and E. Polak, "On the Design of Finite Dimensional Controllers for Infinite Dimensional Feedback-Systems via Semi-Infinite Optimization", *IEEE Trans. on Auto. Contr.*, Vol. 35, No. 10, pp. 1135-1140, 1990.

[24]   E. Polak and L. He, "Finite-Termination Schemes for Solving Semi-Infinite Satisfycing Problems", *JOTA*, Vol. 70, No. 3, pp 429-466, 1991.

[24]   T. H. Yang and E. Polak, "Moving Horizon Control of Nonlinear Systems with Input Saturation, Disturbances, and Plant Uncertainty", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory* Memo No. UCB/ERL M91/82, September 19, 1991, to appear in *Int. J. Contr.*.

[25]   E. Polak and T. H. Yang, "Moving Horizon Control of Linear Systems with Input Saturation, Disturbances, and Plant Uncertainty", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory* Memo No. UCB/ERL M91/83, September 19, 1991, to appear in *Int. J. Contr.*.

[26]   E. Polak and T. H. Yang, "Moving Horizon Control of Linear Systems with Input Saturation, and Plant Uncertainty", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory* Memo No. UCB/ERL M91/84, September 19, 1991, to appear in *Int. J. Contr.*.

[27] C. Kirjner Neto and E. Polak, "A Secant Method Based on Cubic Interpolation for Solving One Dimensional Optimization Problems", *University of California. Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory* Memo No. UCB/ERL M91/91, 15 October 1991.

[28] E. Polak and L. He, "Rate Preserving Discretization Strategies for Semi-infinite Programming and Optimal Control", *SIAM J. Contr. and Opt.*, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp 548-572, 1992

[29] E. Polak, J. Higgins and D. Q. Mayne, "A Barrier Function Method for Minimax Problems", *Math.Progr.*, Vol. 54, No.2, pp. 155-176, 1992.

[30] E. Polak, D. Q. Mayne, and J. Higgins, "On the Extension of Newton's Method to Semi-Infinite Minimax Problems", *SIAM J. Contr. and Opt.*, Vol. 30, No.2, pp. 376-389, 1992.

[31] E. J. Wiest and E. Polak, "A Generalized Quadratic Programming-Based Phase I Phase II Method for Inequality Constrained Optimization, *J. Appl. Math. and Optim.*, Vol. 26, pp 223-252, 1992.

[32] E. Polak, "On the Use of Consistent Approximations in the Solution of Semi-Infinite Optimization and Optimal Control Problems", *University of California. Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory* Memo No. UCB/ERL M92/36, 13 April 1992, to appear in *Math Programming*.

[33] E. Polak, T. Yang, and D. Q. Mayne, "A Method of Centers Based on Barrier Functions for Solving Optimal Control Problems with Continuum State and Control Constraints", *SIAM J. Control and Opt.*, Vol.31, No. 1, pp 159-179, 1993.

Presentations at Conferences without Proceedings

[34] E. Polak, "A Necessary and Sufficient Stability Criterion in Semi-Infinite Inequality Form", presented at the *Workshop on Sensitivity in Nonsmooth Optimization*, organized by the University of Montreal, Centre de Recherches mathematiques, at St. Adele, Quebec, Canada, Feb. 1989.

[35] E. Polak, J. E. Higgins and D. Q. Mayne, "A Barrier Function Method for Minimax", presented at *SIAM Conference on Control in the 90's*, San Francisco, Ca. May 17-19, 1989

[36] T. E. Baker and E. Polak, "An optimal Control Algorithm for Slewing Flexible Structures subject to Control and State Space Constraints", presented at *SIAM Conference on Control in the 90's*, San Francisco, Ca. May 17-19, 1989

[37] E. Polak, "Optimal Diagonalization Strategies", presented at *AFOSR Workshop on Shape Optimization*, University of California at Berkeley, May 22-24, 1989

[38] E. Polak, "Semi-Infinite Optimization Algorithms for Design of Structures Subjected to Dynamical Loads", presented at *IFIP, 3rd WG 7.5 Working Conference on Reliability and Optimization of Structural Systems*, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley CA, March 26-28, 1990.

[39] L. He and E. Polak, "Multistart Method with Estimation Scheme for Global Satisfycing Problems", presented at the *Minisymposium on Large-Scale Control Systems, at the 2nd SIAM Conference on Linear Algebra in Signals, Systems and Control*, San Francisco, November 5 -8, 1990.

[40] C. Kirjner Neto and E. Polak, "A Secant Method Based on Cubic Interpolation for Solving One Dimensional Optimization Problems", ORSA-TIMS, National Meeting, San Francisco, CA., 1992.

[41] E. Polak, "On the Use of Consistent Approximations in the Solution of Semi-Infinite Optimization and Optimal Control Problems", Plenary address: *SIAM Conference on Control*, Minneapolis, MN, 1992